

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/556,143	04/21/2000	Ronald A. Schachar	PRES06-00163	6710
23990	7590 04/05/2002			
DOCKET CLERK			EXAMINER	
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380			SHAY, DAVID M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3739	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	09/556,143	Schachon				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	d. sha	Schackon Group Art Unit 3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears		.				
Period for Reply	_					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimi pire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on December	26,2001					
This action is FINAL.		•				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (
Disposition of Claims						
PClaim(s) 31-44		is/are pending in the application.				
PClaim(s) 3 1 − 4 4 Of the above claim(s) 45 + 46	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
☐ Claim(s)						
© Claim(s) 31-44		is/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers		1-1				
$\hfill \square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the last of the CERTIFIED copies of the last of t	priority documents ha	ve been				
*Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)		·				
• •	.\	ton dow Common DTO 410				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	•	••				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ 0	ther				
Office A	ction Summary					

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

The substitute specification filed December 26, 2001 has been entered.

1. The amendment filed December 26, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the descriptio of figures 10, 11; the disclosure running from line 21 on page 22 through the end of page 25 of substitute specification; and newly submitted figures 10 and 11.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

2. Newly submitted claims 45 and 46 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the apparatus could be used for a substantially different method, such as transmyocardial revascularization

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 45 and 46 have withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fankhauser et al.

Art Unit: 3739

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed December 26, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. There is nothing to prevent the laser of Fankhauser from being directed at the sclera
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (703) 308-2215

David Shay:bhw

March 28, 2002

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330